

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,658	07/02/2003	Michael P. Galligan	4576/4581A	5534	
75	90 03/10/2006		EXAMINER		
Chief Patent Counsel			NGUYEN, NGOC YEN M		
Engelhard Corporation 101 Wood Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 770			1754		
Iselin, NJ 08830-0770			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- <u> </u>	<u>Y</u> 1			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/612,658	GALLIGAN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen	1754				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address -	•			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. sply be timely filed If HS from the mailing date of this communical ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 D	ecember 2005.					
·		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 30-36 is/are pending in the	ne application.					
•—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 30-36 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		y the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	1(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	i			
a)	□ All b)□ Some c)□ None or. □ Certified copies of the priority document.	s have been received					
	Certified copies of the priority documents		unlication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	· ———				
	application from the International Bureau	· · ·	cocived in this Hational Stage				
* * 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.				
		•					
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/612,658

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 21, 2005 has been entered.

Claims 1-3, 5-6, 31-34, 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishida et al (4,455,281) in view of EP 0 831 211.

Ishida et al discloses a plate-shaped catalyst unit for NO_x reduction of exhaust gas (note title). The catalyst unit is produced by a method comprising the steps of spraying molten metal upon the surfaces of a metal plate to allow the molten metal to accumulate thereon to form rough surfaces and depositing a catalyst containing titanium and at least another catalytic material for NO_x reduction of exhaust gas onto said rough surfaces whereby the catalyst is firmly secured on said rough surfaces (note claim 1). Ishida '281 further discloses that forming the surfaces of the metal plate into rough surfaces is effected by molten metal spraying. In the typical case, a metal wire is heated to be molten by contact resistance of electricity, an electric arc or high temperature flames, and molten metal thus obtained are sprayed together with gas such as compressed air through nozzles on the surfaces of the metal plate in the forms of very small droplets of molten metal allowing the molten metal to solidly secured thereto.

As the molten metal sprayed, the same type of material as the metal plate is preferred. Then a catalytic substance is attached onto the surfaces of the metal plate formed into rough surfaces by the molten metal spraying (note column 4, line 62 to column 5, lines 13).

The metal plate can be thin steel plates, such as ASTM type 430, type 410 and type 304 (note column 4, lines 53-61). Ishida '281 also discloses that a metal wire mesh can be used instead of metal plate (note column 1, lines 55-58). Moreover, the expanded metal in the form a perforated metal plate (note Figure 5) is considered the same as a "monolithic honeycomb carrier substrate".

In Ishida '281, Figure 4 is considered as having corrugated structure. Since the metal plate in Ishida '281 can be bent, one skilled in the art would be able to use such metal plate to form a conformable catalyst member as required in the instant claims.

The difference is Ishida '281 does not disclose that a tube of corrugated construction.

EP '211 discloses an exhaust emission control device for internal combustion engines (note column 1, lines 11-19). Such device can have a catalytic metal bearing (or support) member which can be corrugated tube shape (note item 13 in Figure 4 or item 22 in Figure 7). The corrugated shape can further be perforated, i.e. porous (note Figure 16C).

For the limitation regarding the shape of the support member, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time the invention was made to shape the catalyst

support member of Ishida '281 as a corrugated, perforated tube, as suggested by EP '211 because such shape is desired for catalyst used in internal combustion engine.

Claims 30, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishida '281 in view of EP '211 as applied to claims 1-3, 5-6, 31-34, 36 above, and further in view of Donomoto (4,798,770) or Draghi et al (6,042,879).

The difference not yet discussed is Ishida '281 does not disclose that the anchor layer comprises nickel and aluminum.

However, Ishida '281 teaches that the molten metal sprayed is preferred to be the same type of material as the metal plate (note column 5, lines 9-10) and the metal plate is desired to be heat resistance and corrosion resistance (note column 4, lines 53-61) such as stainless steel. However, the teaching of Ishida '281 should not be limited to just the exemplified metals.

Donomoto '770 discloses that alloys include Ni-Cr alloys, Ni-Al alloys containing 3-20% Al, Ni-Cr-Al alloys, Ni-Cr-Al-Y alloys are heat and corrosion resistant (note column 5, lines 51-63).

Alternatively, Draghi '879 teaches that MCrAIY, where M is nickel and/or cobalt, has corrosion and heat resistant properties (note column 4, lines 7-14). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to optimize the composition of the MCrAIY alloy to obtain the desired corrosion and heat resistant properties.

It would have been obvious to use any known metal which is heat and corrosion resistance, such as the MCrAIY alloys suggested by Donomoto '770 or Draghi '879 for the catalyst of Ishida '281 because heat and corrosion metal is desired in Ishida '281.

Claims 1-3, 5, 30-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gorynin (5,204,302) in view of EP '211, optionally further in view of Rondeau (4,027,367) and Ishida '281.

Gorynin '302 discloses a catalyst comprising a metallic substrate; an adhesive sublayer diffusion bonded onto said substrate; and a catalytically active layer deposited on said sublayer and a porous layer deposited on said catalytically active layer (note claim 1). The adhesive sublayer is prepared from thermally reactive powders, such as those prepared from nickel and titanium, aluminum with at least one or more of Co, Cr, Mo, Ta, Nb, Ti or Ni or silicon with at least one or more of Ti, Nb, Cr, W, Co, Mo, Ni or Ta (note column 2, lines 25-35). For the composition of the Ni alloy used, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize such composition to obtain the best adhesive layer.

Gorynin '302 further discloses that the catalyst can be used for the purification of waste gases from an internal combustion engine (note column 1, lines 6-10). Gorynin '302 further discloses that because of the strong adhesion of the catalyst layers to the substrate, the catalyst can be corrugated and punched after deposition of the catalyst layer (note column 3, lines 57-60).

The adhesive layer in Gorynin is formed by plasma spraying. The thermally reactive powders is introduced into a plasma torch and an exothermic reaction is initiated in the torch. The exothermic powders impinge the substrate where the reaction continues. The heat generated in the reaction causes diffusion of the sub-layer into the substrate resulting in a diffusion bond and strong adhesion of the sublayer to the substrate (note column 3, lines 6-15). Thus, Gorynin '302 fairly teaches that the plasma spraying process is used to obtain a diffusion layer which improves the bonding between the two layers.

The process limitation in claim 6 is noted. However, when the examiner has found a substantially similar product as in the applied prior art, the burden of proof is shifted to applicant to establish that their product is patentably distinct and not the examiner to show the same process of making. *In re Brown*, 173 USPQ 685 and *In re Fessmann*, 180 USPQ 324.

Optionally Rondeau '367 is applied as stated below to teach the use of electric arc to form the adhesive layer.

Rondeau '367 discloses a method of thermal spraying a substrate to deposit a self-bonding coating on such substrate, comprising supplying an electric arc thermal spray gun with a wire feed comprising an alloy of nickel and aluminum or titanium, and using such electric arc thermal spray gun, spraying said wire feed onto such substrate to coat the same thereby to establish diffusion bond between such coating and such substrate to provide a self-bonding coating on such substrate (note claim 1). Rondeau '367 discloses that several types of thermal spraying guns are available including

combustion flame spray guns, e.g., the oxy-fuel gas type, plasma arc spray guns and electric arc spray guns. Combustion flame spray guns require a source of fuel, such as acetylene, and oxygen and the temperature produced therein are usually relatively low and often incapable of spraying materials having melting points exceeding 5,000°F. Plasma arc spray guns are usually the most expensive type and they produce much higher temperatures than the combustion type, e.g. up to approximately 30,000°F. Furthermore, plasma arc spray gun require a source of inert gas, such as argon, for creation of the plasma, and the gas flow rate and electric power therefor require extremely accurate control for proper operation. On the other hand an electric arc spray gun simply requires a source of electric power and a supply of compressed air or other gas, as is well known, to atomize and to propel the melted material in the arc to the substrate or target (note column 1, lines 25-43).

In undertaking the method of Rondeau '367 a number of important advantages are realized over the prior art. Firstly, the process uses an electric arc spray gun, which is more economically operated than other thermal spray equipment. Second, the material to be sprayed is supplied as a wire, which is more convenient to use than powder. The wire may be thin strand all the way up to a relatively thick rod as long as it is suitable for spraying through an electric arc spray gun. Third, the wire is readily formed as an alloy of the two primary materials nickel and aluminum or nickel and titanium. Fourth, the cohesive, adhesive and hardness attributes of the coating on an article formed by the method of the invention are generally equivalent to or better than

corresponding attributes for a coating on an article sprayed with powder using other thermal spray devices (note paragraph bridging columns 2-3).

Rondeau '367 can be further applied to teach that the wire alloy comprises a minimum of 93% nickel, from 4 to 5.2% aluminum, from 0.25 to 1.00% Ti (note column 4, lines 15-20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use electric arc spraying method, instead of plasma spraying, to form the adhesive layer in Gorynin '302, as suggested by Rondeau '367 because electric arc spraying method can form the same diffusion bond between the two layers but it would cost less plus the additional advantages as stated above.

Optionally, Ishida '281 can be applied as stated above to teach that it is known in the art to form an adhesive layer on a substrate of a catalyst by using electric arc spraying process before depositing the catalytic layer in order to form a catalyst that is highly resistant to peel off (i.e. better bonding) (note column 7, lines 62-67).

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-6, 30-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Araga et al (6,607,844), Cyron (4,719,680), Shimogori et al (4,963,440) and Engler et al (4,987,112) are cited to teach that tube shape, corrugated and/or perforated sheet are known and conventional in the art to for a catalyst support, especially the one used for internal combustion engine.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1356. The examiner is currently on Part time schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Stanley Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 or (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed (571) 272-1700.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1754

nmn

March 6, 2006